

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,094	01/12/2001	Jens Baltersee	2-2-2	1665	
24490	24490 7590 08/09/2005			EXAMINER	
	CES OF NAREN CHA ON AVE, STE. 12H	CORRIELUS, JEAN B			
	ES, CA 90036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2637		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	1
K	
u	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/760,094	BALTERSEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jean B. Corrielus	2637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	<u>oril 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18-25</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	÷					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-25 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim

1, before step (g) a limitation such as "wherein step (f) comprising:" should be inserted;

step (f), what does it mean by "reducing the interference of at least one other (...) than

the signal component ..."? Claim 2, what does it mean by "S-curve"; lines 7-8, what does

it mean by "at estimated correct location"? Claim 3, line 2, "subtraction" should be

"subtracting". Claim 16, line 7, "an of" should be deleted; last line "." Should be inserted.

Note that any claim whose base claim is objected is likewise objected. Appropriate

correction is required.

Specification

 The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: please remove reference to the claim in the specification. See for instance page 5,lines 16-19.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "the total weight interfering path" lacks of proper antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 2637

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the fingers (1, 2,.. N) as recited in claims 1 and 16, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2637

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 3-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al Patent No. US 6,154,443 in view of Popovic Patent No. US 6,567,482. Huang et al discloses a method and apparatus comprising (a) receiving of an electromagnetic signal being a superposition of a plurality of signal components of different signal paths (i), see col.1, lines 22-29 and fig. 1B

 (b) digitizing the received signal see A/D converter 46 (c) distributing the digitized signal to receiver fingers 50 each of which is assigned to one signal path; reducing the interference of at least one other than the signal component of the assigned signal path J with the signal component of the assigned signal path I in at least one of the receiver fingers see summer 124 and 166; calculating the interference contribution of finger J in finger I see summer 122 and 164 and subtracting the interference contribution of the at least one other finger from an intermediate signal.

however, Huang et al does not teach that the digitized signal is provided to a synchronization and detection device (stream) and it further fail to teach that the decorrelating the digitized signal by a code sequence. Popovic discloses (fig. 3) the further limitations of providing the digitized signal to a synchronization and detection device (stream) (51, 54, 56) and it further fail to teach that the decorrelating using correlator 51 the digitized signal by a code sequence. Given that fact, it would have

Art Unit: 2637

been obvious to one skill in the art to incorporate such a teaching in Huang et al so as to ensure that the receiver is synchronize with the incoming signal so as to ensure accurate reconstruction of the transmitted signal.

as per claim 3, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to configure

Huang and Popovic in such a way for the subtracting to take place in the symbol rate so
as to reduce system complexity.

As per claim 4, the interference of other signal components that the assigned signal component is reduced in all receiver fingers see fig. 3B, 164.

As per claim 5, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to decorrelate the digitize signal with a complex conjugate pseudo noise code sequence so as to enhance signal detection.

As per claim 6, it is well known in the art to provide early- late timing detection in the sync stream Given that fact, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to include such a device in Huang and Popovic in order to provide proper signal calibration.

As per claims 7 and 8, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to determine the real part before /after step f) so as to satisfy system ' requirements.

As per claim 9, it would have been obvious to filter the reduced interference signal in order to remove any residual noise from the signal.

As per claim 10, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to configure

Huang and Popovic so that the steps e, f, and the filtering step provide code-tracking

Art Unit: 2637

of the digital signal so as to ensure that receiver and transmitter are properly synchronize.

As per claim 11, it is well known in the art for code tracking to provide timing delay of the signal component assigned signal path so as to provide proper compensation to avoid any signal misalignment.

As per claim 12, Popovic teaches that the digitized signal is distributed to a first and second correlator 52 and 53. it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to distribute the digitize signal in a first in second correlator and the motivation to do so would have been the same as provided in reference to claim 1 above.

As per claim 13, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to time shift the second signal prior feeding it to the second correlator so as to satisfy system requirements.

As per claim 14, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to generate a difference signal from the late and early estimates so that the system can be properly calibrated.

As per claim 15, would have been obvious to one skill in the art to multiply the difference signal with reconstructed so as to generate a signal resembling the original signal.

8. Claims 16 and 18-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al Patent No. US 6,154,443 in view of Tran Patent No. US 6,269,075.

Art Unit: 2637

Huang et al discloses a method and apparatus comprising (a) receiving of an electromagnetic signal being a superposition of a plurality of signal components of different signal paths (i), see col.1, lines 22-29 and fig. 1B

(b) digitizing the received signal see A/D converter 46 (c) distributing the digitized signal to receiver fingers 50 each of which is assigned to one signal path; reducing the interference of at least one other than the signal component of the assigned signal path J with the signal component of the assigned signal path I in at least one of the receiver fingers see summer 124 and 166; calculating the interference contribution of finger J in finger I see summer 122 and 164 and subtracting the interference contribution of the at least one other finger from an intermediate signal.

however, Huang et al does not teach that the further limitations of determining a delay associated with the assigned signal path. In the same field of endeavor, Tran teaches the further limitations of determining a delay associated with the assigned signal path see col. 1, line64-col. 2,, line 3. given that fact, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to incorporate such a teaching in Huang in other to receive multipath signal having highest signal strength as taught by Tran see col. 2, lines 1-2.

As per claim 18, the interference reduce device is adapted to subtract the interference signal of at least one other signal component from the signal path of the assigned signal path see figs. 3A and 3B.

As per claim 19, Huang includes an A/D converter 46 upstream of the receiver fingers.

Art Unit: 2637

As per claim 20, it is well known in the art to provide early- late timing detection in the sync stream Given that fact, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to include such a device in Huang and Tran in order to provide proper signal calibration.

As per claim 21, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to include a loop filter in Huang and Tran so as to remove noise from the input signal.

As per claim 22, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to configure

Huang and Tran the timing error and the loop filter as part of a code tracking loop so as
to ensure that receiver and transmitter are properly synchronize.

As per claim 23, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to adapt the code tracking loop to estimate a timing delay of the signal component in other to receive multipath signal having highest signal strength as taught by Tran see col. 2, lines 1-2.

As per claim 24, it would have been obvious to adapt the timing detector to provide pseudo noise decorrelation in order satisfy system requirement.

As per claim 25, the receiver is a DS-CDMA see Huang col. 3, line 17.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2637

10. Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-16, 18-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxi-Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean B Corrielus
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2637